

MATA30 — Tutorial Notes

Week 9: Exponential Models and Optimization

Amir Koutahi

Key Idea

For optimization: (1) model the quantity to optimize as a single-variable function, (2) identify the correct domain, (3) test critical points *and* endpoints.

Problem 1 (Population Growth with a Rate Change). A city had population 1.2 million in 1990 and 1.8 million in 2000. Assume exponential growth up to 2000. From 2000 onward, the growth rate is reduced by 20%.

- Determine an exponential model $P(t)$ (in millions) for t years after 1990, valid for $0 \leq t \leq 10$.
- Write a piecewise model for $t > 10$ reflecting the reduced growth rate.
- Estimate the population in 2025, and compute the percentage error if the actual population is 3.25 million.

Solution

(a) Let $P(t) = 1.2e^{kt}$ for $0 \leq t \leq 10$. Using $P(10) = 1.8$:

$$1.8 = 1.2e^{10k} \Rightarrow e^{10k} = 1.5 \Rightarrow k = \frac{\ln(1.5)}{10}.$$

So

$$P(t) = 1.2e^{(\ln(1.5)/10)t}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 10.$$

(b) The new rate is $k_2 = 0.8k = \frac{0.8 \ln(1.5)}{10}$. For $t > 10$,

$$P(t) = P(10)e^{k_2(t-10)} = 1.8e^{k_2(t-10)}.$$

Thus

$$P(t) = \begin{cases} 1.2e^{(\ln(1.5)/10)t}, & 0 \leq t \leq 10, \\ 1.8e^{(0.8 \ln(1.5)/10)(t-10)}, & t > 10. \end{cases}$$

(c) Year 2025 corresponds to $t = 35$. Then

$$P(35) = 1.8e^{k_2(25)}.$$

Numerically, $k_2 \approx 0.03244$, so

$$P(35) \approx 1.8e^{0.811} \approx 4.05 \text{ million.}$$

Percentage error (relative to actual 3.25):

$$\text{error} \approx \frac{4.05 - 3.25}{3.25} \times 100\% \approx 24.6\%.$$

Remark

When a growth rate changes at a specific time, a piecewise model must be continuous at the change point (here: at $t = 10$).

Problem 2 (Open-Top Box with Different Material Costs). An open-top box with square base must have volume $V = 50,000 \text{ cm}^3$. The base material costs twice as much per cm^2 as the side material.

- Write the total cost $C(x)$ in terms of base side length x .
- Find the dimensions minimizing $C(x)$.
- Compare with the minimum-surface-area open-top box of the same volume.

Solution

Let base side length be x and height be h . Volume constraint: $x^2h = 50,000 \Rightarrow h = \frac{50,000}{x^2}$.

Cost \propto (base area) $\times 2$ + (side area) $\times 1$:

$$C(x) = 2x^2 + 4xh = 2x^2 + 4x \cdot \frac{50,000}{x^2} = 2x^2 + \frac{200,000}{x}.$$

Differentiate:

$$C'(x) = 4x - \frac{200,000}{x^2}.$$

Set $C'(x) = 0$:

$$4x^3 = 200,000 \Rightarrow x^3 = 50,000 \Rightarrow x = \sqrt[3]{50,000} \approx 36.84 \text{ cm}.$$

Then

$$h = \frac{50,000}{x^2} \approx 36.84 \text{ cm}.$$

So the minimizer satisfies $h = x$.

Remark

A cost-weighted optimization is not the same as minimizing surface area. Always build the cost function first, then optimize it.

Problem 3 (Maximum-Area Rectangle Under a Parabola). For $x \geq 0$, consider $y = 9 - x^2$. A rectangle is inscribed under the curve with its base on the x -axis from 0 to x , and its top-right corner on the curve.

- Write the area $A(x)$.
- Find the x maximizing the area and compute the maximum area.
- What fraction of the area under the curve from $x = 0$ to $x = 3$ does this rectangle occupy?

Solution

Height is $9 - x^2$ and width is x , so

$$A(x) = x(9 - x^2) = 9x - x^3.$$

Differentiate:

$$A'(x) = 9 - 3x^2 = 0 \Rightarrow x^2 = 3 \Rightarrow \boxed{x = \sqrt{3}}.$$

Maximum area:

$$A(\sqrt{3}) = 9\sqrt{3} - 3\sqrt{3} = \boxed{6\sqrt{3}}.$$

Total area under $y = 9 - x^2$ from 0 to 3:

$$\int_0^3 (9 - x^2) dx = \left(9x - \frac{x^3}{3}\right)_0^3 = 27 - 9 = 18.$$

Fraction:

$$\boxed{\frac{6\sqrt{3}}{18} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \approx 0.577.}$$

Problem 4 (Exponential Decay and Dosing Interval). A medication concentration follows

$$C(t) = C_0 e^{-kt}, \quad C_0 = 50 \text{ mg/L}, \quad k = 0.4 \text{ h}^{-1}.$$

A dose is administered when the concentration falls to 10 mg/L.

- Find the dosing interval T .
- If a daily cost is proportional to the number of doses per day times the average concentration over each cycle, express the daily cost (up to a constant factor) as a function of T , and state what the model predicts about minimizing cost under this setup.

Solution

(a) Solve $10 = 50e^{-0.4T}$:

$$e^{-0.4T} = 0.2 \Rightarrow -0.4T = \ln(0.2) = -\ln 5 \Rightarrow \boxed{T = \frac{\ln 5}{0.4} \approx 4.02 \text{ h.}}$$

(b) Number of doses per day is $N(T) = \frac{24}{T}$. Average concentration over one cycle is

$$\bar{C}(T) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T 50e^{-0.4t} dt = \frac{50}{0.4T} (1 - e^{-0.4T}).$$

Thus, up to a constant factor,

$$C_d(T) \propto N(T)\bar{C}(T) = \frac{24}{T} \cdot \frac{50}{0.4T} (1 - e^{-0.4T}) \propto \frac{1 - e^{-0.4T}}{T^2}.$$

For $T > 0$, this decreases as T increases, so the model predicts lower cost for larger T . Under the rule “dose when $C(t) = 10$ ”, the interval is fixed by part (a).

Remark

If a problem includes both an optimization objective and a dosing/threshold rule, check whether the rule fully determines T . If it does, there is no free parameter left to optimize.